Reductively Responsive Hydrogel Nanoparticles with Uniform Size, Shape, and Tunable Composition for Systemic siRNA Delivery in Vivo
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ABSTRACT: To achieve the great potential of siRNA based gene therapy, safe and efficient systemic delivery in vivo is essential. Here we report reductively responsive hydrogel nanoparticles with highly uniform size and shape for systemic siRNA delivery in vivo. “Blank” hydrogel nanoparticles with high aspect ratio were prepared using continuous particle fabrication based on PRINT (particle replication in nonwetting templates). Subsequently, siRNA was conjugated to “blank” nanoparticles via a disulfide linker with a high loading ratio of up to 18 wt%, followed by surface modification to enhance transfection. This fabrication process could be easily scaled up to prepare large quantity of hydrogel nanoparticles. By controlling hydrogel composition, surface modification, and siRNA loading ratio, siRNA conjugated nanoparticles were highly tunable to achieve high transfection efficiency in vitro. FVII-siRNA conjugated nanoparticles were further stabilized with surface coating for in vivo siRNA delivery to liver hepatocytes, and successful gene silencing was demonstrated at both mRNA and protein levels.
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1. INTRODUCTION

RNA interference (RNAi) with small interfering RNA (siRNA) is a promising biotechnology, which has great potential to treat cancer and other diseases. As a negatively charged biological molecule, naked siRNA is unable to penetrate the cell membrane effectively. In addition, siRNA is unstable and susceptible to degradation by RNase in serum. A safe and efficient delivery method is necessary to protect siRNA and facilitate its delivery to the cytoplasm. A suitable delivery carrier should assist in overcoming multiple biological barriers for systemic delivery in vivo, to enable prolonged circulation time, target-specific cellular uptake, and enhanced endosomal escape. Nanoparticles are of extreme interest for siRNA delivery as a result of the ease to control particle composition and surface properties. Different types of nanoparticles have been developed to deliver siRNA, which include lipid nanoparticles, polymer-based nanoparticles, peptide-based nanoparticles, calcium phosphate nanoparticles, and other inorganic nanoparticles. Nevertheless, it remains a challenge to achieve systemic delivery in vivo. To date, there are only a few examples of systemic siRNA delivery in vivo with lipids, cationic polymers, and lipid-coated calcium phosphate. The majority of these examples are based on physical entrapment of siRNA, which may lead to premature release during blood circulation.

Particle replication in nonwetting templates (PRINT) is a particle fabrication technology capable of producing nanoparticles or microparticles with highly uniform shape, size, and composition. We previously reported the use of PRINT based hydrogel nanoparticles to deliver siRNA in vitro. In that work, siRNA was loaded when nanoparticles were being fabricated, requiring water to be used as solvent (see further explanations in Supporting Information, Part 2), which does not favor continuous particle fabrication process. Therefore, batch fabrication method was used. To enable the full potential of PRINT technology by using continuous particle fabrication to efficiently produce large quantity of highly uniform particles,
we developed a new “post-fabrication” siRNA loading method. With this new method, reductively responsive nanoparticles were efficiently prepared with continuous fabrication process. The loading efficiency of siRNA was also greatly improved. The resulting luciferase-siRNA conjugated nanoparticles were able to efficiently knock down luciferase expression in vitro. Furthermore, the surface of nanoparticles were modified to facilitate systemic delivery of siRNA in vivo, and efficient gene silencing of FVII in liver hepatocytes was achieved with FVII-siRNA conjugated nanoparticles.

2. MATERIALS

Tetraethylene glycol monoacrylate (HPA) was synthesized in house and kindly provided by Dr. Ashish Pandya and Mathew Finnis. DMAPMA (N-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]-methacrylamide) was from TCI America. 2-(Methacryloyloxy)-ethyltrimethylammonium chloride solution, poly(acrylic acid) (Mn = 1800), S-acetyliothioglycolic acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester, and TPO (diphenyl(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl)phosphine oxide) were from Sigma-Aldrich. mPEG5k acrylate and mPEG5k-NH2 were from CreativePEGWorks. NHS-PEG3.4k-COOH was from Laysan Bio. Poly-lysine hydrobromide and SPDP (0.2 equiv to nanoparticle mass in collect nanoparticles. Next, nanoparticles were resuspended in PBS at 2 mg/mL and SPDP (0.2 equiv to nanoparticle mass) were added. The suspension was vortexed gently at r.t. for 12 h. Nanoparticles were centrifuged and washed once with 10X PBS and twice with sterile water. Finally, nanoparticles were resuspended in PBS (1 mg/mL). EDC (3 equiv), sulfo-NHS (10 equiv), and PLL (10 equiv) were added. Reaction proceeded at r.t. for 12 h. Nanoparticles were centrifuged and washed once with 10X PBS and twice with sterile water. The resulting hydrogel nanoparticles were used for cellular study in vitro. To conduct hemolysis assay and deliver siRNA in vivo, nanoparticles (4 mg/mL) were incubated in a solution of mPEG3k-PAE (synthetic procedure was described in Supporting Information, 10 equiv in PBS) for 30 min. Resulting nanoparticles were collected with centrifugation (14 000 rpm, 20 min, 4 °C) and washed once with PBS.

3. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

3.1. “Blank” Nanoparticle Fabrication. The method for nanoparticle fabrication on a PRINT based continuous particle fabrication instrument was described in detail previously. The preparticle solution was prepared by dissolving 5 wt % of the various reactive monomers in methanol. A representative preparticle solution composition was shown in Table S1. Nanoparticles were transferred to plasdone harvest sheets and harvested with sterile water with a yield of 0.8 mg/foot. SEM micrograph of “blank” nanoparticles was shown in Figure S1. DyLight 680 labeled nanoparticles were prepared similarly with the addition of DyLight 680 succimide.

3.2. siRNA Loading and Nanoparticle Surface Modification. First, “Blank” nanoparticles were suspended in anhydrous DMF at 2 mg/mL. NHS-PEG5k-COOH (1 equiv to nanoparticle mass) and pyridine (2 equiv to nanoparticle mass) were added. The reaction proceeded at r.t. for 12 h, followed by centrifugation (14 000 rpm, 20 min, 4 °C) to collect nanoparticles. Next, nanoparticles were resuspended in PBS at 2 mg/mL and SPDP (0.2 equiv to nanoparticle mass in CH3CN) was added. The suspension was vortexed gently at r.t. for 6 h. Nanoparticles were collected by centrifugation (14 000 rpm, 20 min, 4 °C) and subsequently washed twice with sterile water. Subsequently, siRNA-SH (synthetic procedure was described in Supporting Information) was added to a suspension of nanoparticles (10 mg/mL) in PBS, which was shaken at r.t. for 12 h. Nanoparticles conjugated with siRNA were collected by centrifugation (14 000 rpm, 20 min, 4 °C), which were washed twice with 10X PBS and twice with sterile water. Finally, nanoparticles were suspended in PBS (1 mg/mL). EDC (3 equiv), sulfo-NHS (10 equiv), and PLL (10 equiv) were added. Reaction proceeded at r.t. for 12 h. Nanoparticles were centrifuged and washed once with 10X PBS and twice with sterile water. The resulting hydrogel nanoparticles were used for cellular study in vitro. To conduct hemolysis assay and deliver siRNA in vivo, nanoparticles (4 mg/mL) were incubated in a solution of mPEG3k-PAE (synthetic procedure was described in Supporting Information, 10 equiv in PBS) for 30 min. Resulting nanoparticles were collected with centrifugation (14 000 rpm, 20 min, 4 °C) and washed once with PBS.

3.3. Nanoparticle Characterization. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) enabled imaging of hydrogels that were dispersed on a silicon wafer and coated with 2.2 nm of Au/Pd (Hitachi S-4700). 4-potential and dynamic light scattering measurements (approximate measurements of hydrogel dynamic size of rod-like particles based on a mathematical model of spherical particles) were conducted on 20 μg/mL particle dispersions in 1 mM KCl or 0.1X PBS buffer using a Zetasizer Nano ZS particle analyzer (Malvern Instruments Inc.).

3.4. Analysis of siRNA by Gel Electrophoresis. 2.5% agarose gel in TBE buffer was prepared with 0.5 μg/mL of ethidium bromide. To study release of siRNA from hydrogel nanoparticles, nanoparticles were incubated in 1X PBS, with 5 mM reduced glutathione (GSH). Aliquots of particle dispersions were centrifuged (14 000 rpm, 15 min, 4 °C) for recovery of the supernatant at various time points which were then stored at −20 °C until analysis on gel. For evaluation of protection of siRNA by nanoparticles, siRNA hydrogels were incubated in 1X PBS supplemented with 30% FBS at 37 °C for given times, followed by incubation in 10X PBS (5 mM glutathione) for 4 h at 1.2 mg/mL and 37 °C to release all siRNA. Twelve microliters of sample (supernatants from particle dispersions, siRNA solutions, or particle dispersions) was mixed with 3 μL of 6X loading buffer (60% glycerol, 0.12 M EDTA in DEPC-treated water) and loaded into the gel. After applying 70 V/cm for 25 min, the gel was imaged with ImageQuant LAS 4000 (GE). Analysis of siRNA band intensity was conducted with ImageJ software for quantification. siRNA loading ratio was calculated by comparing the maximum amount of siRNA released from nanoparticles to the nanoparticle mass. Conversion efficiency of siRNA conjugation was calculated by comparing the maximum amount of siRNA released from nanoparticles to the amount of siRNA used to conjugate nanoparticles.

3.5. Cells Culture and in Vitro Assays. Luciferase-expressing HeLa cell line (HeLa/luc) was from Xenogen. HeLa/luc cells were maintained in DMEM high glucose supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 units/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and nonessential amino acids. All media and supplements were from GIBCO except for FBS which was from Mediatech, Inc.

HeLa/luc cells were plated in 96-well plates at 5000/well and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Cells were dosed with fluorescently tagged particles in OPTI-MEM (Invitrogen) at 37 °C for 4 h for cell uptake studies. Fluorescently tagged
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particles were prepared by incorporation of fluorescein O-acrylate in the particle composition and copolymerization of these monomers into particle matrix. After incubation, cells were trypsinized and treated with 0.1% trypan blue to quench the fluorescein fluorescence from particles associated with the cell surface. Cells were then washed and fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde/DPBS and analyzed by CyAn ADP flow cytometer (Dako). The cell uptake was presented as the percentage of cells that were positive in fluorescein fluorescence.

For in vitro cytotoxicity and luciferase expression assays, cells were dosed with particles or lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen)/siRNA (2:1, wt/wt) in OPTI-MEM at 37 °C for 4 h, then particles were removed, and complete growth medium was added for another 48 h incubation at 37 °C. Cell viability was evaluated with Promega CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay, and luciferase expression level was evaluated with Promega Bright-Glo Luciferase Assay according to manufacturer’s instructions. Light absorption or bioluminescence was measured by a SpectraMax M5 plate reader (Molecular Devices). The viability or luciferase expression of the cells exposed to PRINT particles was expressed as a percentage of that of cells grown in the absence of particles. Half-maximal effective concentration (EC_{50}) of siRNA required to elicit gene knockdown was determined by applying the dose-dependent luciferase expression data to a log(inhibitor) vs response variable slope nonlinear function in GraphPad Prism software.

### 3.6. Hemolysis Assay.
Blood from C57BL/6 mice were washed twice with HBSS buffer. 1.5 × 10^8 red blood cells were placed in each well of round-bottom 96-well plate and treated with particle formulations of various concentrations for 30 min at 37 °C. Cells were then centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min, and supernatants were transferred into another plate and absorbance was measured at 540 nm. 0.5% Triton X-100 and 5 mg/mL PEG8000 were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

### 3.7. Biodistribution of NP in Mice.
C57BL/6 mice were intravenously injected with 0.5 mg particles labeled with DyLight 680. Twenty-four h post injections, mice were euthanized, and the major organs (liver, spleen, lung, kidney, and heart) were harvested. The resected organs were imaged by IVIS Lumina fluorescence imaging system (PerkinElmer) with excitation at 675 nm and emission measured at 720 nm. The percentage of NP fluorescence in each organ against the total fluorescence recovered from all the major organs was calculated and presented.

3.8. In Vivo FVII Silencing in Mice. All procedures used in animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use. C57BL/6 mice were from Jackson Laboratories and used at 6–10 weeks old. Particle formulations were administered intravenously via tail vein injection, at 4 or 6 mg siRNA/kg. 48 h post treatment, liver tissues were harvested for analyses.

For qRT-PCR assay of mRNA level, liver tissues were harvested from euthanized mice and preserved in RNAlater. qRT-PCR was done as previously published. Primers used were: (Mus Factor VII) forward: ACA AGT CTT ACG TCT GCT TCT; reverse: CAC AGA TCA GCT GCT CAT TCT; probe: FTC TCA CAG TTC CGA CCC TCA TCQ; (Mus β-Actin) forward: CTG CCT GAC GGC CAG GTC; reverse: CAA GAA GGA AGG CTG GAA AAG A; probe: FCA CTA TTG GCA ACG AGC GGT TCC GQ; F: S′-fluorescein (FAM); Q: quencher (TAMRA). FVII protein level in mouse plasma was assayed with BIOPHEN VII assay kit (Aniara Corporation) according to manufacturer’s instructions. A standard curve was constructed using samples from PBS-injected mice and relative Factor VII expression was determined by comparing treated groups to untreated PBS control.

For immunohistochemistry analysis, particles were labeled with DyLight 680 dye. Particle formulations were administered intravenously via tail vein injection, at 4 mg siRNA/kg. Twenty-four h post treatment, liver tissues were harvested and snap frozen in O.C.T medium and cryosected into 5 μm sections. Sections were fixed in ice cold acetone for 5 min, briefly air-dried, and rehydrated in 1X PBS for 15 min. The tissue sections were then blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 20 min, and sequentially stained with anti-mouse MARCO (Invitrogen) and goat antirat IgG-Alexa Fluor 488 in 1% BSA/PBS. Tissue sections were also stained with phalloidin-Alexa Fluor 555 (Invitrogen) and DAPI (Sigma). Images were collected with Zeiss710 confocal laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss).

3.9. Statistical Analysis. Liver FVII mRNA and plasma FVII protein measurements were analyzed by One-Way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison test.

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We chose to make a rod-shaped particle with high aspect ratio: 80 × 80 × 320 nm (L × W × H, 80 × 320 nm hereafter) for enhanced cell uptake and improved in vivo PK previously compared to 200 × 200 cylindrical particles we previously used for siRNA delivery. Compared to the direct siRNA
incorporation method previously reported, the current formulation strategy made particles and loaded siRNA in two separate steps. We first prepared 80 × 320 nm hydrogel nanoparticles using the PRINT based continuous particle fabrication instrument with a high efficiency. A representative “blank” nanoparticle composition (Table S1) includes: (1) AEM (2-aminomethyl methacrylate) as a reaction handle for siRNA conjugation; (2) cationic tertiary amine DMAPMA (N-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]methacrylamide) to improve transfection efficiency; (3) mPEG$_{3k}$-acrylate as a nanoparticle stabilizer. This composition also includes cross-linker (PEG$_{100}$ diacrylate), photo initiator (TPO), and hydrophile (tetraethylene glycol monoacrylate). This composition dissolved in volatile methanol is fully compatible with mold based continuous fabrication process without using aqueous content for siRNA dissolution. 300 mg of nanoparticles with highly uniform size, shape, and composition were prepared within 30 min. As illustrated in Scheme 1, the resulting “blank” nanoparticles were treated with SPDP (sulffimimidyl 3-(2-pyridyldithio)propionate), which reacted with primary amine groups on nanoparticles. Subsequently, by incubating these nanoparticles in thiol modified siRNA (siRNA modification method described in the Supporting Information), siRNA was conjugated to nanoparticles via a disulfide linker to enable reduction-sensitive release of cargo. To further enhance transfection efficiency, nanoparticles were treated with polyammonium polymers (poly-l-lysine) along with an activation agent of EDC (1-Ethyl-3-(3-(dimethylamino)-propyl)carbodiimide) and sulfo-NHS (N-hydroxysulfo succinimide sodium salt). The SEM micrograph (Figure 1a and S1) indicated that the size, shape, and integrity of these rod-shape nanoparticles were well-retained after siRNA loading and surface modification. Dynamic light scattering measurement in water using a mathematical model of spherical particles indicated that the 80 × 320 nm rod-shape nanoparticles had an overall hydrodynamic size of 368.2 ± 4.2 nm with a narrow size distribution (PDI = 0.06).

4.2. Reductively Responsive Release of siRNA. Electrostatic complexation of siRNA with cationic nanoparticles may have the issue of premature release during circulation in blood. In order to avoid this issue, siRNA was conjugated to hydrogel nanoparticles via a “pro-drug” strategy. The disulfide linker in these “pro-siRNA” hydrogel nanoparticles is stable in the physiological environment, but cleavable in the intracellular reducing environment. As shown in Figure 1b, these reductively responsive hydrogel nanoparticles are expected to maintain encapsulation of siRNA while circulating in blood and release the cargo after entering cells. The release profile of siRNA loaded nanoparticles is shown in Figure 1c. While no siRNA was released in PBS, siRNA was quickly released when incubated in 5 mM glutathione, which mimics the intracellular reducing condition.

4.3. Protection of siRNA from Degradation. Another important requirement for siRNA carriers is the capability to protect cargo from degradation by RNase. To determine if hydrogel nanoparticles provided conjugated siRNA protection from nuclease degradation, a stability assay was carried out. As shown in Figure 1d, siRNA conjugated to hydrogel nanoparticles was stable after incubation in 30% FBS for 24 h. By comparison, naked siRNA was completely degraded by 30% FBS in the same period of incubation.

4.4. siRNA Delivery Evaluation in Vitro. Before these siRNA conjugated nanoparticles were used for systemic delivery in vivo, nanoparticle composition was adjusted to evaluate gene silencing efficiency in vitro. PEG-based PRINT hydrogel particles without targeting ligand generally enter nonphagocytic cells via endocytosis. Positive charges of cationic nanoparticles enhance nonspecific interactions with cells, improve endocytosis, and assist endosomal escape likely via so-called “proton sponge” effect. We were able to tune the positive charge density of these nanoparticles by varying their composition and surface modification of PLLs. Luciferase gene silencing was evaluated by treating HeLa/luc cells with luciferase−siRNA conjugated nanoparticles of different surface modifications, chemical compositions, and siRNA loading ratios.

First, three PLLs of different molecular weights (1−5k, 15−30k, and 30−70k) were used to modify nanoparticle surface properties. When the content of the tertiary amine macromer DMAPMA was fixed at 40 wt % and siRNA was constantly...
charged at 40 wt %. Luciferase expressing HeLa/luc cells were treated with luciferase-siRNA conjugated nanoparticles in a media with reduced serum (OPTI-MEM) for 4 h. Nanoparticles were subsequently removed, and cells were incubated at 37 °C for another 48 h. Dose-dependent knock-down of luciferase expression was observed with a maximum gene silencing of 90%, when nanoparticles were surface modified with PLL 15−30k (Figure 2a). Nanoparticles modified with PLL 15−30k showed no cytotoxicity (Figure 2b). By comparison, nanoparticles modified with PLL 1−5k showed low transfection efficiency, and nanoparticles modified with the large molecular weight PLL (30−70k) led to high cytotoxicity.

Next, the content of the tertiary amine macromer DMAPMA was varied with the siRNA charging ratio fixed at 40 wt % and surface modification with PLL 15−30k. The dimethyl amine group in DMAPMA may assist cellular uptake and increases pH buffering capacity to enhance endosomal escape due to the ”proton sponge” effect. Luciferase transfected HeLa cells were dosed with hydrogel nanoparticles fabricated with different DMAPMA contents of 10, 20, and 40 wt % (weight percent to macromers in preparticle solution). As illustrated in Figure 2c (cell uptake and viability assays are shown in Figure S4 and S5), nanoparticles with the highest DMAPMA content of 40 wt % had the highest transfection efficiency, while those with 10 and 20 wt % DMAPMA were less efficient in delivering luciferase-siRNA into HeLa/luc cells. Therefore, we chose 40 wt % DMAPMA as the best nanoparticle composition to enhance transfection.

Furthermore, nanoparticles were conjugated with different ratios of siRNA to evaluate the influence of siRNA loading ratio on transfection efficiency. “Blank” nanoparticles were fabricated with 40 wt % DMAPMA and surface modified with PLL 15−30k. Four different ratios of siRNA (weight percentage to “blank” nanoparticles), 100, 40, 20, and 10 wt %, were charged and conjugated to “blank” nanoparticles. The siRNA loading ratios of the resulting nanoparticles were 18.2, 10.0, 5.8, and 2.6 wt %, respectively (Table 1). The loading efficiencies of siRNA were between 18% and 29%. Silencing of luciferase expression with hydrogel nanoparticles of different siRNA loading ratios was evaluated (Figure 2d). EC50 was calculated to be 509, 150, 116, and 80 nM, respectively. Nanoparticles with the lowest siRNA loading ratio had the lowest EC50 and were the most efficient at gene silencing based on the amount of siRNA dosed. It is possible that, with a large quantity of siRNA conjugated (up to 18 wt %), the relatively lower content of cationic polymers would lower the transfection efficiency, including less efficient cellular uptake and reduced endosomal escape capability. The highest transfection efficiency achieved by our hydrogel nanoparticles (EC50 = 80 nM) is comparable to that achieved by lipofectamine 2000 (EC50 = 28 nM, Figure S6), a commercially available transfection agent, which is widely used for in vitro transfection. We chose nanoparticles loaded with

Table 1. siRNA Loading Ratio, Loading Efficiency, and EC50 of Nanoparticles Charged with Different Amounts of siRNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>theoretical siRNA charging ratio</th>
<th>empirical measured siRNA loading ratio</th>
<th>loading efficiency</th>
<th>EC50 (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 wt %</td>
<td>18.2 wt %</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 wt %</td>
<td>10.0 wt %</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 wt %</td>
<td>5.8 wt %</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt %</td>
<td>2.6 wt %</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. (a) Luciferase expression and (b) viability of Hela/luc cells treated with cationic hydrogel nanoparticles surface modified with PLL of different molecular weights. Luciferase expression in HeLa/luc cells treated with nanoparticles of (c) different tertiary amine DMAPMA contents and (d) different siRNA loading ratios. Cells were dosed with nanoparticles in a media with reduced serum (OPTI-MEM) for 4 h followed by the removal of nanoparticles and 48 h incubation in media. The error bars represent standard deviation from triplicate wells in the same experiment. The amount of siRNA loaded was calculated based on that was released in gel electrophoresis assays. Nonspecific siRNA was used as a control.
10.0 wt % siRNA, which combined a high loading ratio and efficient gene silencing, for further in vivo animal studies.

4.5. siRNA Delivery in Vivo. The above studies indicate that hydrogel nanoparticles with surface modification of PLL 15−30k, chemical composition of 40 wt % DMAPMA, and siRNA loading ratio of 10 wt % achieved efficient transfection in vitro in a media with reduced serum (OPTI-MEM).

Nanoparticles of this composition were positively charged (ζ−potential = +31.8 mV). Positive nanoparticles may suffer from reduced transfection efficiency in serum-containing medium as a result of serum protein coating. The coating with negatively charged serum protein would change the nanoparticle surface property and significantly lower the capability of cellular uptake and endosomal escape. Notably, the luciferase expression assay of our luciferase−siRNA conjugated nanoparticles showed no reduction in transfection efficiency in 10% FBS compared to those in medium with reduced serum (Figure S7). This result demonstrated that nanoparticles were stabilized, most likely by mPEG5k acrylate used to fabricate “blank” nanoparticles and/or NHS-PEG3.4k-COOH used to modify the nanoparticle surface.

The above formulation was used for systemic delivery in vivo. Cationic nanoparticles could be subject to quick clearance during circulation in blood due to opsonization.27 Our previous study demonstrated that PEGylation of hydrogel NP significantly improved circulation of NP.28 Therefore, mPEG modified poly(acrylic acid) (mPEG4k-PAA) was used to coat siRNA loaded cationic nanoparticles to further stabilize them for in vivo applications. The resulting nanoparticles had a slightly negative ζ−potential of −5.8 mV and a size of 331.5 ± 4.4 nm (PDI = 0.06) in 0.1× PBS (pH = 7.4). Distribution study of the cationic NP and mPEG4k-PAA coated NP in mice showed that both accumulated most efficiently in liver (>40%), followed by accumulation in spleen and kidney at similar levels (Figure S8). About 20% of cationic NP was also found in lung, likely due to opsonization of positively charged NP in blood and formation of larger aggregates, which is often filtered by lung. On the other hand, only 5% of mPEG4k-PAA coating was in lung, and there was about 10% more of this NP in liver instead. Further examination of liver sections (Figure S9) indicated that mPEG4k-PAA coating helps to stabilize NP in vivo and get internalized by hepatocytes and other liver cells, while cationic NP tended to aggregate and was less taken up by cells.

The toxicity of these mPEG5k-PAA coated nanoparticles to red blood cells was studied with a hemolysis assay (Figure 3a). Red blood cells (RBCs) were treated with hydrogel nanoparticles at 0.111, 0.333, and 1 mg/mL and incubated at 37 °C for 0.5 h. Minimal RBC lysis was observed with up to 1 mg/mL of either FVII-siRNA or luciferase-siRNA conjugated nanoparticles. This result indicates these nanoparticles have minimal toxicity to red blood cells when administered for systemic delivery.

Studies have been reported on using siRNA to treat liver-related diseases.49,50 Since biodistribution study as well as liver examination indicated efficient accumulation of our hydrogel nanoparticles in liver parenchymal cells, we chose to show the gene silencing potential of this system in liver in the initial investigation. Coagulation factor VII (FVII) that is produced by liver hepatocytes has been reported in multiple siRNA delivery systems.51,52,53 In addition, measurements of FVII at mRNA and blood protein levels have been well studied. Therefore, mouse hepatic FVII was chosen as the gene target to test the efficacy of siRNA delivery and gene silencing. Mice were dosed with FVII-siRNA loaded nanoparticles via intravenous administration at 6 mg/kg or 4 mg/kg (weight ratio of siRNA to mice). Nanoparticles loaded with luciferase-siRNA were administered at the same dose as the control. Liver tissues and blood were collected 48 h post treatments for mRNA and FVII protein analyses, respectively. Figure 3b showed that 6 mg/kg FVII-siRNA resulted in ~75% reduction of the FVII mRNA level, while the lower dose of 4 mg/kg FVII-siRNA gave a moderate reduction of approximately 40%. The reduction of the FVII protein level in plasma followed the same trend, with 6 mg/kg FVII-siRNA administration leading to a 40% decrease in FVII protein level in blood (Figure S10). Since protein is more stringently regulated, the decrease in protein level is smaller compared to that of mRNA level. Optimization of treatment regime may be needed for desired knockdown levels.

A closer examination of liver tissues from mice treated with hydrogel nanoparticles (labeled with DyLight 680 and loaded with FVII-siRNA) via intravenous administration was done by confocal microscopy. Figure 3c showed a representative image.
of liver sections from mice treated with nanoparticles (separate channels shown in Figure S11). It could be seen that large quantities of particles (green) were accumulated in almost all cells, including Kupffer cells (magenta) and other cells (red) which should be mostly hepatocytes, the mesenchymal cells of liver (70–85% of total liver cells). In addition, images taken along z-axis showed that particles were distributed at different depth of tissues (Figure S12), further demonstrating that the particles were able to penetrate liver tissues and reach intracellular environment. These results together demonstrated the capability of the nanoparticles to deliver cargo to liver hepatocytes and silence gene expression.

5. CONCLUSION

siRNA conjugated polymeric nanoparticles with a uniform shape, size, and composition have been developed and used for systemic delivery in vivo. Building on our previous work, the new “post-fabrication” siRNA loading method allows us to use PRINT based continuous particle fabrication to prepare large quantity of nanoparticles with a high efficiency. The cargo was conjugated to nanoparticles with a loading ratio of up to 18 wt % and a loading efficiency of up to 29%. The composition, surface modification, and siRNA conjugation ratio of nanoparticles are highly tunable to achieve efficient luciferase gene silencing in vitro with minimum cytotoxicity. Furthermore, FVII-siRNA loaded nanoparticles are capable of efficient knockdown of FVII expression via systemic delivery in vivo. We are currently further optimizing this hydrogel formulation for other targets, including tumors, and also developing particles constituted with biodegradable materials.
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